

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,010	02/23/2004		Scott Sarem	2506		
24958	7590	12/15/2004		EXAM	INER	
VLADIMI				WONG, S	WONG, STEVEN B	
KHITERER 2109 W. CO		FICE Y., SUITE 200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEWPORT		•	3711			

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/784,010	SAREM, SCOTT					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Steven Wong	3711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) does not	ATION.  17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rection.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of third  pry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON  by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be							
	y the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of John 17 10-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/784,010

Art Unit: 3711

## Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of the legal phraseology "means". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sand (6,475,107). Regarding claim 1, Sand discloses a golf tee (12) comprising an elongated shank (16) having a pointed end (14) and a ground penetration stopping means (40).

Regarding claim 2, the ground penetration stopping means is a disk with top and bottom surfaces. The disk is perpendicular to the shaft of the tee.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sand (6,475,107). Regarding claims 3-5, note Figure 2 and column 4, lines 4-10 teaching that the disk may be provided in a range of distances from the ball support surface (12). Sand particular teaches a range of 15.875mm to 31.75mm from the bottom of the disk to the ball support surface.

Art Unit: 3711

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the distance between the top surface of the disk and the ball support surface with a distance as claimed by applicant as the applicant has not disclosed the particular criticality for the claimed dimensions and it appears that the dimensions taught by Sand would accomplish similar purposes.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Sand is silent as to a dimension for the diameter of his disk. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to from the disk of Sand with a diameter of about 10 millimeters as the applicant has not disclosed that this particular limitation solves any stated purpose by a showing of a new and unexpected result and it appears that the diameter for the disk of Sand would accomplish similar purposes.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-4416. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

SBW December 13, 2004